Application No. 10/527,152 Response to Restriction Requirement dated March 3, 2008 Office Action of February 5, 2008

## REMARKS

Claims 1-68 were pending and stand subject to restriction. Applicants would like to bring the Examiner's attention to the Preliminary Amendment that was filed on March 9, 2005. In the Amendment, Claims 9-11, 14, 16-17, 19, 21-24, 26-27, 29-30, 33-34, 36-50, 52-55 and 57-67 were cancelled. Thus, only Claims 1-8, 12-13, 15, 18, 20, 25, 28, 31-32, 35, 51, 56 and 68-69 are pending.

The restriction requirement sets forth in the Action is from among the following three groups of inventions\*:

- I. Claims 1-30 and 33, drawn to an application of a composition;
  - II. Claims 31-32, drawn to a feed supply system; and III. Claims 34-68, drawn to a curable composition.

Applicants elect with traverse for prosecution herein the claims identified in Group I; that is, Claims 1-8, 12-13, 15, 19, 20, 25, and 28, which remain pending.

However, Applicants note that the restriction requirement appears to be too restrictive. That is, Groups I and II recite similar inventions, in that the invention of Group II defined by Claims 31 and 32 simply adds generally a feed

<sup>\*</sup> Applicants have presented the claim groupings as presented in the Action, and have not revised the groupings in accordance with the Preliminary Amendment.

Application No. 10/527,152 Response to Restriction Requirement dated March 3, 2008 Office Action of February 5, 2008

supply system for use for the application of a composition curable by photoirradiation to a fastener, an example of which is set forth in Claim 1.

MPEP § 803 reads: "If the search and examination of an entire application can be made without serious burden, the Examiner must examine it on the merits, even though it includes claims to distinct or independent inventions." (Emphasis added.) Since a thorough search for art relevant to the claims of Group I would appear to also suffice for the claims of Group II there should not be a "serious burden" to perform a complete search and examination for claims in both Groups I and II.

Accordingly, reconsideration of the restriction requirement is respectfully requested.

Applicants' undersigned attorney may be reached by telephone at (860) 571-5001, by facsimile at (860) 571-5028 or by e-mail at steve.bauman@us.henkel.com. All correspondence should be directed to the address given below.

Respectfully submitted,

Steven L. Bauman

Attorney for Applicants Registration No. 33,832

HENKEL CORPORATION
Legal Department
1001 Trout Brook Crossing
Rocky Hill, Connecticut 06067
Customer No. 31217